From Programmability to Fungibility

Ang Chen

Department of Computer Science Rice University

SW functions increasingly sunk into HW



Hardware must have software-like flexibility

- Programmability: Wide range of tasks
- Fungibility: Context switches across tasks

Programmability is already here for networks



- Programmable network devices are prevalent
 - E.g., SmartNICs, DPUs, IPUs, DSCs, Switches
 - Capable of many tasks, easy feature development
 - Use cases: Security, telemetry, monitoring, ...
 - Some of our work: Ripple, NetWarden, Poise, Bedrock

Ex: Programmable network defenses



- •Network attacks are dynamic and shapeshift quickly:
 - Changing attack strategies and locations
 - Programmable network defenses are a great match
- •But defenses must be reconfigurable at runtime!

Today's programmable devices lack fungibility



•Today, network programming is a compile-time activity

• Incurs intrusive downtime, requires maintenance before reprogramming

•Also, can't pre-reinstall all programs we'll ever needed

• Can't anticipate attacks, limited switch resources (e.g., 10Mb SRAM)





- Runtime network (re)programming end-to-end
- No downtime, zero packet loss, consistency guarantees
- From programmability to resource fungibility

Exciting challenges, require community work



- Runtime changes to infrastructure stacks is challenging
 - SW/HW "touchpoints" create coupling, changes impact upper layers
 - Including network switches, but also NICs and OS
- Vision: Network/Infra stacks with resource fungibility
 - Programming, compilation, verification, and management

Exciting Questions

Programming a fungible datapath (flex program)



- How to enable a resource-fungible datapath across the stack?
 - Runtime resource allocation + reclamation, without downtime
 - SOTA: P4, NPL, PoF languages specify single-device behaviors

Real-time network extensions (flex extensions)



- How to program network extensions into a "base" program?
 - Infrastructure program: Basic utilities, e.g., ACL, telemetry
 - User-specific upgrades, e.g., DDoS, refined telemetry
 - SOTA: BPF extensions to OS kernels, at well-defined hooks

Verifying real-time changes (flex verification)



- How to provide high assurance for runtime changes?
 - Infrastructure changes are risky, especially at runtime
 - Runtime verification to eliminate bugs, constrain blast radius
 - SOTA: P4 verification and validation "before deployment"

Runtime infrastructure management



- How to manage dynamic network programs as they roam?
 - Network "apps" migrate, expand, shrink at runtime
 - E.g., adding resources dynamically to attack locations
 - SOTA: P4Runtime for micro-behaviors not macro-behaviors

Preliminary Work

Runtime programmable switches



- Goal: Live network reprogramming w/ consistency guarantees
 - Use cases: Real-time attack mitigation, workload-driven optimizations, ...

Disaggregation offers runtime flexibility



- Monolithic: Tight coupling of memory/compute in stages
- Disaggregated: Decoupling for resource fungibility

Ex: Runtime table addition



- Ex: Insert Access Control List (ACL) into a live program
 - Install new elements in scratchpad, pointer swaps to place them in
- Finally, activate changes atomically for next pkt

Live, partial hardware reconfiguration



- Larger change: Use "delta" between old and new
 - Approach 1: minimum change graph (NSDI'22)
 - Approach 2: @add, @del, @mod annotations (NSDI'23)
- Transform "delta" into a set of PR primitives

Providing atomic transactions



Idea: Bootstrap from atomic operations to transactions

- Prepare delta in scratch area, guarded by version control
- Atomic version modification commits transaction
- But, need to prepare the entire delta before activating it ¹⁷

Resource headroom constrains TX sizes



- One-step TX not always possible b/c resource constraints

 - One-step TX: large peak utilization. Two-step TXs: Feasible
- In between TXs, we'll expose intermediate states!

Consistency guarantees



- Idea: Weaker consistency guarantees w/ granular TXs
 - E.g., pkts never mix old and new tables
 - E.g., User-defined specifications

Runtime update plan synthesis



- User provides change annotation and consistency spec.
- Goal: Identify a sequence of safe and feasible TXs
 - Safe: Intermediate states between TXs satisfy spec
 - Feasible: Each TX fits within the resource headroom

An experiment with Nvidia ASIC



• Number of HW operations

- Consistency algorithms
- Transaction sizes, headroom
- Effective for diff. programs
- Intermediate program states

- Real-time network defense
 - Covert channels
 - Access control
- Just-in-time optimization
 - Accelerated multicast
 - Scenario: ZeroMQ multicast w/ 1-6 receivers

Implementation

Evaluation metrics

Case studies

Case study: Accelerated multicast



- Pub/sub workload: Repeated unicast vs. switch multicast
 - Multicast program injected to switch at runtime
- Zero packet loss; dramatic performance gains

But More Is Needed

Open questions abound, across the stack



- Fungibility as a first-order design goal
 - Device architectures?
 - Languages and abstractions?
 - Compilation and verification?
 - Network management stacks?



- Anchored by an NSF project, with industry engagement
- Looking for more collaborators and brainstorming partners!

From programmability to fungibility



We need community work!

Acknowledgments

Aditya Akella Tom Anderson Ang Chen Sushovan Das Matty Kadosh Patrick Kon **Arvind Krishnamurthy** Jiaxin Lin Hongyi Liu Alan Lo

Jeongyoon Moon T. S. Eugene Ng **Yonatan Piasetzky** Hari Sezhiyan **Omer Shabtai** Yiming Qiu Weitao Wang Zhuang Wang **Crystal Wu Jiarong Xing** https://jxing.me/